APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/364,786	7/30/99	Radhika Thekkath	00 77.20

EXAMINER
D. Chung

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2672	N/A

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael Ray Reg No. 33,997   (3) Daniel Chung

(2) LuAnne DeSantis   (4)

Date of Interview 1/26/05

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☑ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☑ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, brief description:_____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☑ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed:_____/_____

Identification of prior art discussed: __Koss et al.__

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:_____

discussed & claim 1 in view of Koss and Inoue et al. Applicant show the distinction between the prior art and invention, and Applicant will consider to clarify the claim to show invention clearly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV. 2-98)